Received: December 6, 1986; accepted: December 29, 1986

# OXIDATION OF MOLECULAR BROMINE BY URANIUM HEXAFLUORIDE IN ACETONITRILE. PREPARATION AND PROPERTIES OF HEXAFLUORUURANATES(V) CONTAINING POSITIVE BROMINE

LAURENCE McGHEE, DAVID S. RYCROFT and JOHN M. WINFIELD

Department of Chemistry, University of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 SQQ, (U.K.)

### SUMMARY

Molecular bromine is oxidized by uranium hexafluoride in acetonitrile at ambient temperature. The product is formulated on the basis of its spectra, and its brominating and oxidizing abilities as  $[Br(C_6H_9N_3)][UF_6]$  in which bromine is bound to an acetonitrile trimer containing C=N bonds.

#### INTRODUCTION

Recently it has been shown that oxidation'of molecular iodine by molybdenum or uranium hexafluorides in acetonitrile leads to the isolation of  $[I(NCMe)_2][MF_6]$ , M = Mo or U  $[1]$ . These compounds are formulated as salts which on the basis of iodine and molybdenum EXAFS studies [2] and their vibrational spectra [l] have cations containing linear N-I-N skeletons. The electrochemical oxidation of  $Br_2$  in MeCN has been observed to occur at +1.6 V vs.  $Ag^+$ , Ag [3] and cations of the type  $\left[BrL_2\right]^+$ , L = quinoline [4] or quinuclidine [5] have been structurally characterized. It seemed worthwhile therefore to attempt the analogous oxidation of  $Br_2$  by MoF<sub>6</sub> and UF<sub>6</sub> (E<sub>1/2</sub> for MF<sub>6</sub>, MF<sub>6</sub> are ca. + 2.3 and 1.60 V respectively [6])in the hope of preparing  $[\text{Br}(\text{NCMe})_2]^+$  salts.

We find that although  $Br_{\gamma}$  is oxidized by UF<sub>6</sub> in MeCN the product does not contain  $\left[Br(NCMe)_2\right]^+$  as additional reactions involving the solvent occur. No reaction occurs between  $Br_2$  and MoF<sub>6</sub> and this work therefore provides the first example of different behaviour occurring between these two strong oxidizing agents in MeCN.

0022-1139/87/\$3.50 0 Elsevier Sequoia/Printed in The Netherlands

#### RESULTS

Addition of uranium hexafluoride to a frozen solution of molecular bromine in acetonitrile, mole ratio  $Br_2:UF_6\geqslant3:1$ , results in a decrease in the red colour of the solution as the mixture is allowed to warm slowly to room temperature. A pale green solid, empirical formula  $C_6H_0BrF_6N_3U$ , remains after removal of unchanged  $Br_2$  and MeCN. If excess  $Br_2$  is not used, and if the temperature is allowed to rise too quickly, the solvent is extensively polymerized. The solid can be stored for long periods in vacuo at 273 K, but slow decomposition to give  $Br_2$  as one product occurs. Decomposition is more rapid (observable after 12 h) in MeCN at room temperature.

The presence of the hexafluorouranate(V) anion is indicated both by the solid's electronic spectrum in MeCN [7], and by the observation of  $\vee$ , and  $\vee$ <sub>3</sub> of UF<sub>6</sub>, at 619 (Raman) and 515 cm<sup>-1</sup> (i.r) respectively [8], in the solid's vibrational spectrum. Characteristic bands due to MeCN are not observed in the latter, but strong bands at 1627, 1580, and 1520 cm<sup>-1</sup> in the solid's i.r spectrum indicate the presence of  $\sum C=N-$  groups  $[9]$ . The mass spectrum of the solid (Table) is consistent with bromine being bound to  $CH_3CN$  oligomers, and supports the microanalytical data in indicating a ratio Br : CH<sub>3</sub>CN of 1 : 3.

### TABLE



Mass spectrum of  $[Br(C_{6}H_{9}N_{3})][UF_{6}]$ 

352

On the basis of this evidence the solid is formulated as  $[Br(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>q</sub>N<sub>3</sub>)]$ - $[\text{UF}_{\mathsf{A}}]$ .

The  $^{13}$ C( $^{1}$ H) and  $^{1}$ H n.m.r. spectra of  $[Br(C_6H_9N_3)]$  in CD<sub>3</sub>CN or EtCN are reversibly, temperature dependent and indicate that several species are present, (Figure). Broad signals are observed at room temperature in the  $^{13}$ C( $^{1}$ H) spectrum



Fig.  ${}^{13}C({}^{1}H)$  n.m.r. spectra of  $[Br(C_6H_9N_3)][UF_6]$  in EtCN/CD<sub>3</sub>CN. Signals  $ca.$   $\delta$ <sub>c</sub>=120 p.p.m. are due to solvent cyano-carbon resonances.

but these sharpen on cooling. In the 193 K spectrum six signals in the region  $\delta_c$ =22.3 to 27.8 p.p.m., and two at  $\delta_c$ =31.6 and 32.4 p.p.m are assigned to CH<sub>3</sub>- and -CH<sub>2</sub>- groups respectively on the basis of DEPT experiments. Nine signals in the region  $\delta$  =170.5 to 184.7 p.p.m are assigned to  $C=N-$  carbons having slightly different environments. For comparison  $\delta_c$  values for 2,4,6-trimethyl, 1,3,5-triazine are 175.9 (C=N) and 25.4 p.p.m.  $(CH_3)$ respectively [10].

In the  $1$ <sup>H</sup> spectrum broad signals observed at room temperature sharpen on cooling and at 193 K singlets at  $\delta_H=3.04$  and 3.25 p.p.m are assigned to CH<sub>3</sub>- groups and at  $\delta_{\text{H}}=4.88$  p.p.m to -CH<sub>2</sub>-. The spectrum also contains relatively weak resonances at  $\delta_{\mu}=14.0$  and  $14.1$  p.p.m assigned to -NH protons.

Since there is no evidence for the presence of  $-CH_2Br$  or XMH groups in the i.r. spectrum of  $[\text{Br}(C_6H_9N_3)][\text{UF}_6]$ , the presence of  $\text{-CH}_2$ - and  $\text{NH}$ signals in the n.m.r. spectra is considered to be due to slight decomposition of the compounds catalysed by trace moisture.

Two properties which are characteristic of the  $[I(NCMe)_2]^+$  cation in MeCN are its ability to iodinate activated benzene derivatives at room temperature and its oxidizing ability, for example towards the copper(I) cation and nitric oxide [1]. Similar behaviour is observed for  $[Br(C_{6}H_{9}N_{3})][UF_{6}]$ . Toluene, anisole, and 9-methylanthracene are brominated under mild conditions, mixtures of products being observed in each case. Tetra-bromination appears possible for toluene and anisole ; in the case of 9-methylanthracene the products appear to contain a dibromo-derivative and  $1,2$ -bis(9-anthryl)ethane. In corresponding iodinations using  $[I(NCMe)_2]^+ I_2$  is formed also, but Br<sub>2</sub> was not observed in the present case except in the 9-methylanthracene reaction where a radical cation intermediate may be involved [11].

The solvated copper(I) cation,  $[Cu(NCMe)_{\Delta}]^{+}$ , NO, and I<sub>2</sub> are all oxidized by  $[Br(C_{6}H_{0}N_{3})][UF_{6}]$  in MeCN at room temperature and the reaction stoichiometries suggest strongly that bromine is in the +1 oxidation state. The products from the first two reactions are Br<sub>2</sub> and  $\left[\textrm{Cu(NCMe)}_{\rho}\right]^{2+}$  or NO<sup>+</sup> ; material containing

C=N- groups is also present as a component of the product mixtures. The product from the oxidation of  $I_2$  is not  $[I(NCMe)_2]^+$  but appears to contain iodine bound to an MeCN oligomer. However the product decomposes readily to give  $I_2$  in solution even at low temperature and this precluded detailed examination.

#### **DISCUSSION**

The oxidation of molecular bromine in acetonitrile differs from that of molecular iodine under similar conditions in two respects. Firstly, oxidation occurs only with the thermodynamically stronger oxidizing agent, uranium

hexafluoride ; secondly, the cation formed cannot be described simply as  $[\text{Br}(\text{NCMe})_q]^+$ . A possible structure for the cation and the pathway by which it could be formed are given in the Scheme.





It appears that  $Br^+$  is too electrophilic to exist as a simple cation solvated by the relatively weak ligand MeCN, and we propose that oligomerization of MeCN occurs to give species represented by (I) and (II) (Scheme). The isolation of a salt containing  $[\text{Br}(C_{6}H_{0}N_{3})]^{+}$  is rationalized by the ring closure of (II) to give the cation (III) in which the positive charge would be expected to be delocalized.

In order to account for the temperature dependent n.m.r. spectra it is necessary to postulate the existence of an equilibrium in solution between cyclic(II1) and acylic(II) forma of the cation. The latter is capable of existing in several conformations, for example planar, syn or anti with respect to the central C=N bond, hence restricted rotation among these could account for the complex  $^{13}$ C{<sup>1</sup>H}n.m.r spectrum observed at 193 K (Figure). Other explanations, for example the formation of species with  $\overline{C=N-C=N}$  four membered rings, are possible but are less attractive.

Nucleophilic attack at the cyano-carbon of an organic nitrile is enhanced greatly by coordination of the cyano-nitrogen to an electrophilic centre. For example aqueous base hydrolysis of MeCN to acetamide is 2 x  $10^6$ faster for  $[(H_2N)_cCo(NCMe)]$ <sup>3+</sup> than for free MeCN [12]. Nucleophilic attack on coordinated nitriles has also been exploited for synthesis, for example in the formation of 5-methyltetrazole by nucleophilic attack of  $N_3$  on  $[(H_3N)_cC_0(NCMe)]^{3+}$  [13], and in the preparation of a tridentate bis(amidine) aminomethyl malonate chelate complex initiated by nucleophilic attack of CNon  $[(H_2N)_5C_0(NCMe)]^{3+}$  [14]. The reaction pathway postulated in the present work is directly analogous, with Br + acting as the electrophilic centre for coordination.

If the reaction conditions are not carefully controlled, or if the reaction is carried out in EtCN, polymerization of the solvent is more extensive and a well-defined product is not isolated. This situation is similar to that encountered in reactions between binary fluorides and  $\overline{cu}^I$ trimethyl phosphite complexes in MeCN where solvent polymerization occurs, apparently initiated by the  $\texttt{CH}_{2}^+$  cation [15].

The structures proposed for the cation are unusual but its chemical behaviour is not dissimilar from those of other N-bromo compounds, for example N-bromo succinimide [16], which contain electrophilic bromine. The species formed by the anodic oxidation of  $Br<sub>2</sub>$  in MeCN has been suggested to be  $[\text{Br}(\text{NCMe})_n][\text{Br}_3]$ , n = 1 or 2. The anion was identified by its electronic spectrum but no direct evidence for the cation's structure was presented [3j. The brominating properties of the cation could be accounted for equally well by the structure suggested in the present work.

### EXPERIMENTAL

Unless indicated below procedures for purification of reagents, manipulation of products, and spectroscopic measurements were as those described previously [I]. Bromine (B.D.H. AnalaR) was degassed and dried over P $_{2}$ O $_{5}$ , then vacuum distilled three times over fresh P $_{2}$ O $_{5}$ . Mass spectra were obtained using a Kratos MS12 spectrometer.  $1_H$  and  $13_C$  $1_H$ , m.m.r. spectra were obtained using a Bruker WP200JY at 200  $({}^{1}H)$  or 50 MHz  $({}^{13}C)$ . Chemical shifts ( $\delta$  p.p.m) are reported with respect to CD<sub>3</sub>CN at  $\delta_c$ 1.3 and CHD<sub>2</sub>CN at  $\delta_H$ 1.93 and are positive to higher frequency. <sup>I</sup>H n.m.r. spectra of brominated organic6 were obtained using a **PE** R32 spectrometer at 90 MHz ( $\delta_{\mathbf{u}}$  with respect to Me<sub>4</sub>Si).

# Preparation of  $[Br(C_{6}H_{9}N_{3})][UF_{6}]$

A solution of Br<sub>2</sub> (2.0 mmol) in MeCN (5 cm<sup>3</sup>) was prepared in vacuo and UF<sub>6</sub> (ca. 0.7 mmol) added to the frozen solution by vacuum distillation. On warming the mixture to room temperature its dark red colour changed to a pale red. Removal of volatile material left a pale green solid. Analysis: Found: C, 12.9; H, 1.7; Br, 14.5; F, 20.3; N, 7.4; U, 43.1%. C<sub>c</sub>H<sub>o</sub>BrF<sub>c</sub>N<sub>2</sub>U requires C, 13.0; H, 1.6; Br, 14.4; F, 20.5; N, 7.5; U, 42.9%. Its vibrational spectrum consisted of the following bands: i.r.  $v_{max}$ , 3160m, 29858, 29408, 28906, 2604m, 185Ow, 16278, 15808, 15208, 14558, 1435m, 1420m, 1380m, 1370m, 13358, 1305m, 10288, 950m, 740m, 6058, 5156, 440m, 365m, 290m cm $^{-1}$ . Raman: Δν,2954w, 1464w, 1414m, 1358w, 1166w, 1058s, 1033m, 964w,  $679w$ ,  $619s$ ,  $606m$ ,  $575m$ ,  $373w$ ,  $337w$  cm<sup>-1</sup>.

# Reactions of  $[Br(C_6H_9N_3)][UF_6]$  in MeCN.

(a) With Nitric Oxide.

A mixture of  $[Br(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>0</sub>N<sub>3</sub>)][UF<sub>6</sub>]$  (0.54 mmol) in MeCN (5 cm<sup>3</sup>) was allowed to react with excess NO at room temperature.  $Br_{2}$ , identified by its electronic spectrum,  $\lambda_{\text{mov}}$ = 390nm, was immediately liberated. Removal of volatile material left a pale green solid (0.265 g; required for complete reaction to  $\text{NOUF}_6 + C_6\text{H}_9\text{N}_3$  0.273 g). Its i.r. spectrum contained bands due to NO ,  $v(NO) = 2330$  cm<sup>-1</sup> [17], UF<sub>6</sub>,  $v_3 = 520$  cm<sup>-1</sup> [8], and at 1620, 1575 and 1520  $cm^{-1}$  assigned to  $\vee$  (C=N).

## (b) With tetra-kis(acetonitrile)copper(I)hexafluorophosphate

 $[Br(C_6H_9N_3)][UF_6]$  (0.18 mmol), contained in a frangible glass ampoule, was added to  $\left[\text{Cu(NCMe)}_{4}\right]\left[\text{PF}_{6}\right]$  (0.18 mmol) and MeCN (5 cm<sup>3</sup>) in an evacuable Spectrosil cell. The ampoule was broken, the electronic spectrum of the solution recorded, and  $[\mathrm{cu}^{\text{II}}]$  was determined as 0.0375 mol dm<sup>-3</sup> (required for complete oxidation of  $cu^I$  0.036 mol dm<sup>-3</sup>). A similar reaction using an excess of the  $\tilde{c}u^I$  salt yielded a blue-green solid whose i.r. spectrum contained bands assigned to coordinated MeCN,  $PF_{6}^{\dagger}$ ,  $UF_{6}^{\dagger}$  and at 1620, 1565 and 1530 cm<sup>-1</sup> assigned to C=N- groups. Br<sub>2</sub> was detected in the volatile fraction.

## (c) With Molecular Iodine

Aliquots (5.0 cm<sup>3</sup>) of a standard solution of I<sub>2</sub> in MeCN (2.13 x 10<sup>-3</sup>mol  $dm<sup>3</sup>$ ) were transferred in a glove box to dried, evacuable Spectrosil cells and weighed portions of  $[Br(C_6H_9N_3)][UF_6]$  (4.8 - 0.95 x 10<sup>-5</sup> mol) contained in frangible glass ampoules were added. Absorbances of  $I<sub>2</sub>$  at 460 nm were determined, the ampoules broken and the spectra of the products obtained. In most solutions  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  shifted to  $\underline{ca}$ . 400 nm due to Br<sub>2</sub> formation but in the reaction where the bromine salt was 0.95 x  $10^{-5}$  mol,  $I_2$  unreacted was estimated as 0.65 x 10  $\degree$  mol (expected for complete reaction 0.59 x 10  $\degree$  mol). A mixture of I<sub>2</sub> (0.2 mmol) and  $[Br(C_{6}H_{0}N_{3})][UF_{6}]$  (0.2 mmol) in MeCN (5 cm<sup>3</sup>) was allowed to react at room temperature and gave a pale brown solid after removal of unreacted  $I_2$ , Br<sub>2</sub> and MeCN. Its i.r. spectrum was similar to that of  $[Br(C_{6}H_{0}N_{3})][UF_{6}]$  containing bands at 515 cm<sup>-1</sup> assigned to  $UF_{6}^{-1}$  [8] and at 1620, 1575, and 1510 cm  $\hat{ }$  assigned to  $\mathsf{v}(\mathsf{C}=\mathsf{N})$ . Major peaks (m/z) in its mass spectrum with their assignments were 249,  $C_6H_8IN_3^+$ ; 168,  $C_2H_3IN^+$ ; 167,  $C_2H_2IN^+$ ; 166  $C_2HIN^+$  and 127 I<sup>+</sup>. The solid decomposed readily at room temperature giving  $I_2$  as one product. Its  $^{13}$ C{ $^{1}$ H} nmr spectrum in CD<sub>3</sub>CN contained signals at  $\delta_{c}=28.9$  and 28.7 p.p.m assigned to  $CH_{3}$ - groups.

## (d) With Aromatic Compounds.

Aliquots (2cm<sup>3</sup>) of a standard solution of  $[Br(C_6H_9N_3)][UF_6]$  in MeCN  $(0.2 \text{ mol dm}^3)$  were added to toluene, anisole, and 9-methylanthracene (3.5 - 5.5 mmol) in the glove box. Free  $Br_2$  was observed only in the latter reaction. After hydrolysis the organic products were extracted with petrol and the solids isolated. No attempt was made to separate the components of the mixtures formed nor to optimise yields, but examination of the mixtures by mass spectrometry and  $\frac{1}{H}$  n.m.r. spectroscopy gave the following results: anisole, bromination of the aromatic ring occurred to give products that included  $C_6HBr_4(0Me)$  and  $C_6H_2Br_3(0Me)$  ; toluene, bromination of the aromatic and the methyl group occurred, with the incorporation of up to five Br atoms; 9-methylanthracene, formation of a dibromo-derivative and oxidation to give an anthracene dimer.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Mrs. F. Lawrie and Mr. A. Ritchie for assistance in obtaining i.r. and mass spectra, and Professor R.W. Hay (University of Stirling) for helpful discussions.

 $\mathbf{1}$ 

 $\overline{2}$ C.D.Garner, L.McGhee, A.Steel and J.M.Winfield, unpublished results.  $\overline{\mathbf{3}}$ J.Y.Becker and D.Zemach, J.Chem.Soc., Perkin Trans.2, (1981) 336. 4 N.W.Alcock and G.B.Robertson, J.Chem.Soc.,Dalton Trans., (1975) 2483. 5 L.K.Blair, K.D.Parris, P.S.Hii and C.P.Brock, J.Am, Chem.Soc., 105 (1983) 3649. 6 G.M.Anderson, J.Iqbal, D.W.A.Sharp, J.M.Winfield, J.H.Cameron and A.G.McLeod, J.Fluorine Chem., 24 (1984) 303. 7 J.L.Ryan, J.Inorg.Nucl. Chem., 33 (1971) 153 ; N. Edelstein, D.Brown and B.Whittaker, Inorg.Chem., 13 (1974) 563. 8 J.A.Berry, R.T.Poole, A.Prescott, D.W.A.Sharp and J.M.Winfield, J.Chem.Soc..Dalton Trans., (1976) 272. 9 J.Goubeau, E.L.Jahn, A.Kreutsberger and C.Grundmann, J.Phys. Chem., 58 (1954) 1078. 10 S.Brown and G.Frey, Org. Magn. Reson., 7 (1975) 194. 11 J.F.Carpenter, L.H.Ekes, P.F.King, H.A.Mariani, M.Mirza Zadeh, R.F. O'Malley and V.J.Roman, J.Electrochem. Soc., 130 (1983) 2170 ; P.F.King and R.F.O'Malley, J.Org.Chem., 49 (1984) 2803. 12 D.A.Buckingham, F.R.Keene and A.M.Sargeson, J.Am. Chem.Soc., 95 (1973) 5649. 13 W.R.Ellis and W.L.Purcell, Inorg.Chem., 21 (1982) 834. I.I.Creaser, S.F.Dyke, A.M.Sargeson and P.A.Tucker, J.Chem.Soc., 14 Chem. Commun., (1978) 289. 15 J.H.Cameron, A.J.McLennan, D.S.Rycroft and J.M.Winfield, J.Fluorine  $Chem.$ ,  $19$  (1981) 135. 16 e.g. J.S.Pizey, 'Synthetic Reagents', Ellis Horwood Ltd., 2 (1974) p.3. 17 J. Shamir and J.G.Malm, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Suppl., (1976) 107.

G.M.Anderson and J.M.Winfield, J.Chem.Soc., Dalton Trans., (1986) 337.